Objectives and Tasks

- T7.1: Validation roadmap
  - define national roadmap
  - create methods and tools for validation
  - set benchmarks
  - use input from national contact points
  - reuse data, tools, benchmarks, results from ARROW
  - Deliverable: M18 (= September 2012)
ARROW – from the validation view

**USABILITY**

- ARROW INTERFACE

**SYSTEM PERFORMANCE**

- ARROW System:
  - TEL
  - VIAF
  - BIP
  - RRO

**INTEGRATION**

- Library domain
- BIP domain
- RRO domain

**COVERAGE**

- THE REALITY
  - BOOKS / RIGHTS HOLDERS / LEGISLATION

We want to digitise our 20th century collection. Can we do it?

Yes, you can for the following titles under the following conditions!
Objectives and Tasks

- T7.2. Internal testing
  - “System approach”
  - Improvements of the system
  - New functionality
  - New workflows
  - Testing could take place on basis of data already used in ARROW and/or new data
  - Partners without national workflow could use national solutions from other countries (e.g. Germany)
Objectives and Tasks

- T7.3 Metadata enrichment (new registries)
  - “National approach”
  - Evaluation of new registries
  - Data conversion
  - Inclusion of national databases
  - Consistency of databases
  - Responsibility stays mainly with WP5
Objectives and Tasks

- T7.4 Stakeholder validation
  - Overall validation from the point of view of stakeholders
  - Full adopters vs. partial adopters
  - Different communities and different interests
  - Final deliverable: M30 (= September 2013)
Challenges, open issues

- Complex situation
  - so many countries, so many workflows, so many data sources, so many interest groups
  - work closely together with technical partners and national contact points (resp. FEP als coordinator of national contact points)

- ARROW system
  - Further development: Shall it play a role within the validation, and if yes, which one? Reviewers might ask and use ARROW as benchmark for ARROW+
  - Clarify the situation during first review

- Still images
  - A completely new situation – we are dependent on plans and decisions which will be made during the next months
  - validation plan will be decided together with EVA, CEPIC

- Design of new tools for right holder involvement
  - New tools shall allow that right holders claim/declare their rights directly
  - Test scenario for large scale digitisation of “German Dissertations” (UIBK)
  - A decision will soon be made on this issue
Person months in WP7

- Participants:
  - technical partners
    - UIBK (16), AIE (9), FEP (12), MVB (9), CEDRO (2)
  - new partners
    - EVA: 3
    - ICCU: 2
    - HPBA: 3
    - BOEK: 1
    - PK: 3
    - LBG: 2
    - MDA: 1
    - CEPI: 3
    - ICLA: 3
    - LLA: 3
    - APEL: 3
    - CIELA: 3
    - OSDEL: 2
FURTHER INFORMATION

GÜNTER MÜHLBERGER

University of Innsbruck
Dep. for German Languages and Literature Studies
Digitisation & Digital Preservation (DEA)
Innrain 52
A-6020 Innsbruck - Austria

Tel  +43 512 507 8454
Fax  + 43 512 507 8442

guenter.muehlberger@uibk.ac.at

http://www.arrow-net.eu